Attorney Docket No.: KNOM-001/01US Application Serial No.: 09/465,028

Page 5

REMARKS

The Patent Examiner identified certain claim objections with respect to claims 5 and 6. Since claims 1-10 are canceled, this objection is moot. The objections to claims 12, 19, and 20 are rectified via the claim amendments requested by the Patent Examiner.

Claims 1-10 are rejected. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection, but in an effort to move the prosecution of the application forward, has cancelled the claims without prejudice. The Patent Examiner indicated that claim 12 is allowable. Accordingly, applicant has amended claim 11 to include the limitations of claim 12. Claims 13-15 are dependent upon claim 11 and therefore should also be in a condition for allowance. The Patent Examiner also indicated that claim 19 is allowable. Accordingly, claim 16 is amended to include the limitations of claims 17-19. Claim 20 is dependent upon 16 and therefore should also be in a condition for allowance.

The applicant thanks the Patent Examiner for identifying allowable subject matter. Since the patent application has been amended as suggested by the Patent Examiner, all claims should now be in a condition for allowance, which is respectfully solicited.

Dated: <u>Nec. 23 2003</u>

Cooley Godward LLP ATTN: Patent Group Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155

Tel: (650) 843-5000 Fax: (650) 857-0663 Respectfully submitted, COOLEY GODWARD LLP

By:

William S. Galliani Reg. No. 33,885

666564 v1/PA #@B_01!.DOC